



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/736,298

12/15/2000

Lahcen Bennai

Q62303

8442

23373 7590 05/25/2007
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.
SUITE 800
WASHINGTON, DC 20037

EXAMINER

MURPHY, RHONDA L

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2616

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/25/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/736,298

Applicant(s)

BENNAI ET AL.

Examiner

Rhonda Murphy

Art Unit

2616

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 April 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5 and 9 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5 and 9 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 16 August 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This communication is responsive to the amendment filed on 4/13/07. Accordingly, claims 6 – 8 and 10 have been canceled and claims 1 – 5 and 9 are currently pending in this application.
2. After further consideration of the claims, finality has been withdrawn and a new ground of rejection has been made.

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5 and 9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
2. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
3. In claim 4, line 7, "the step of neutralizing" is indefinite.
4. Claim 4, lines 8-9, recite, "if the signaling channel in service is not sufficiently functional. "Sufficiently functional" is indefinite and is not defined within the claims.

Art Unit: 2616

5. Claim 4 recites "said information *channels* " in line 8. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Only "one information channel" is previously mentioned on line 5 of claim 4.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

3. Claims 1 – 3, 5 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kobayashi et al. (US 5,978,380) in view of Kato (US 6,683,880) and Stumer (US 6,473,437).

Art Unit: 2616

Regarding claim 1, Kobayashi teaches a communication method using a first access (Fig. 10; physical cable 30a) providing a plurality of information channels including one information channel for transmitting voice and first data and having at least one signaling channel for transmitting signaling signals and second data relating to at least one of said access and said first data (col. 2, lines 17-21), said method further comprising the step of providing at least one additional signaling channel in a signaling path of a second access (physical cable 30b) which also provides a plurality of information channels (col. 11, lines 15-21), said additional signaling channel being on a different physical medium from said first signaling channel and for use in conjunction with said one information channel (refer to Fig. 10; physical cables 30a and 30b; further described in col. 14, lines 56-62). Additionally, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide another signaling channel on a different type of physical medium, since various types of physical media are used in communication systems and depending on the available resources, a different type of medium will provide a more sufficient means of communication.

Kobayashi further teaches priority channels (col. 10, lines 62-64), however fails to explicitly disclose determining an order of priority of the use of the signaling channels and assigning the highest priority functional signaling channel to the first access.

However, Kato teaches determining an order of priority of the use of the signaling channels, and assigning the highest priority functional signaling channel to the first access (col. 5, lines 38-47; col. 6, lines 17-20).

In view of this, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify Kobayashi's method by incorporating Kato's teaching of priority levels for the signaling channels, so as to provide an order in which the signaling channels are used and further improve reliability of the connecting service (col. 6, lines 21-23).

Kobayashi fails to explicitly disclose wherein said step of providing at least one additional signaling channel comprises the step of forming said additional signaling channel from a channel which can be used as an information channel of said second access.

However, Stumer teaches forming said additional signaling channel from a channel, which can be used as an information channel of said second access (col. 4, lines 46-47; some form of signaling data may also be carried by the B-channels).

In view of this, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify Kobayashi's method by including an additional signaling channel used as an information channel, in order to allow signaling data to be transmitted in an information channel.

Regarding claim 2, Kobayashi further teaches an information channel for transmitting voice and first data on a different physical medium from at least one of the signaling channels (col. 2, lines 17-21; col. 14, lines 56-62; Since the signaling- channel that supports the information channel is located on a different physical medium, the information channel is therefore, on a different physical medium from the signaling channel).

Art Unit: 2616

Regarding claim 3, the combined method of Kobayashi and Kato teach a communication method including one information channel for transmitting voice and first data and having at least one signaling channel, for which an order of priority is determined for the signaling channel.

Kobayashi and Kato fail to explicitly disclose regularly testing a highest priority signaling channel when the highest priority signaling channel is not in service.

However, official notice is taken of testing a signaling channel when the channel is not in service. It is known in the art that if a channel is determined to be not in service, a test must have been performed in order to conclude the channel is inoperative.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to perform a test on the channel, so as to provide reliability since transmission would not occur if the channel were inoperative.

Regarding claim 5, the combined method of Kobayashi and Kato teach a communication method including a plurality of information channels for transmitting voice and first data.

Kobayashi and Kato fail to explicitly disclose each access providing thirty information channels.

However, official notice is taken that accesses support thirty information channels. It is known in the art that primary rate access (PRA) – the international version of primary rate interface (PRI) – supports thirty information channels).

Art Unit: 2616

In view of this, it would have been obvious for Kobayashi and Kato's method to incorporate accesses including thirty information channels for the purpose of supporting thirty voice and data channels.

Regarding claim 9, Kobayashi teaches a method according to claim 1, wherein said first and second accesses are each ISDN accesses (col. 1, lines 14-16) having B channels for information (col. 2, lines 17-21; speech channels) and a D channel for signaling (col. 2, lines 17-21; signal channel).

Kobayashi fails to explicitly disclose a B channel of said second access converted to said additional signaling channel.

However, Stumer teaches a B channel of said second access converted to said additional signaling channel (col. 4, lines 46-47; some form of signaling data may also be carried by the B-channels).

In view of this, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify Kobayashi's method by converting a B channel to an additional signaling channel, in order to allow signaling data to be transmitted in an information channel.

4. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kobayashi et al. (US 5,978,380) in view of Kato (US 6,683,880) and Barnes et al. (US 5,416,779).

Regarding claim 4, Kobayashi teaches a communication method using a first access (Fig. 10; physical cable 30a) including at least one information channel for transmitting voice and first data and one signaling channel for transmitting

Art Unit: 2616

signaling signals and second data relating to at least one of said access and said first data (col. 2, lines 17-21), said method further comprising the step of providing at least one additional signaling channel for use in conjunction with said one information channel (col. 2, lines 17-21; common signaling channel).

Kobayashi further teaches priority channels (col. 10, lines 62-64), however fails to explicitly disclose determining an order of priority of the use of the signaling channels and assigning the highest priority functional signaling channel to the access.

However, Kato teaches determining an order of priority of the use of the signaling channels, and assigning the highest priority functional signaling channel to the access (col. 5, lines 38-47; col. 6, lines 17-20).

In view of this, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify Kobayashi's method by incorporating Kato's teaching of priority levels for the signaling channels, so as to provide an order in which the signaling channels are used and further improve reliability of the connecting service (col. 6, lines 21-23).

Kobayashi and Kato fail to explicitly disclose said method further comprising the step of neutralizing at least one, but less than all, of said information channels if the signaling channel in service is not sufficiently functional.

However, Barnes teaches the step of neutralizing at least one, but less than all, of said information channels if the signaling channel in service is not

Art Unit: 2616

sufficiently functional (col. 57, lines 66-8; col. 58, lines 1-10; muting the B channel when signaling errors are detected).

In view of this, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to neutralize at least one information channel when the signaling channel is not sufficiently functional, so as to prevent a user from hearing the poor quality information channel when errors occur (col. 58, lines 5-7).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rhonda Murphy whose telephone number is (571) 272-3185. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9:00 - 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy Vu can be reached on (571) 272-3155. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-

Art Unit: 2616


free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service

Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-

9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Rhonda Murphy
Examiner
Art Unit 2616

RM



HOY D. VU
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600